

**From:** [Bob Trueman](#)  
**To:** [NDE](#)  
**Subject:** N.D.F.  
**Date:** 13 October 2019 10:19:25  
**Attachments:** [Objection Oct 2019.doc](#)

---

Dear Sirs,

Please find my objections to the "renewables" proposals in the NDF

Yours faithfully

Bob Trueman



12<sup>th</sup> October 2019

NDF Team  
Planning Policy Branch  
Welsh Government  
Cathays Park  
Cardiff CF10 3NQ

### **The draft National Development Framework**

Dear Sirs,

The following comprises my objection to those aspects of the above related to so-called “renewable” energy and in particular wind-powered and solar-powered electricity generation. We can ignore I think you will privately agree, the utterly risible – indeed shameful waste of public money by the Welsh Assembly Government in giving grants to patently stupid and possibly crooked experiments into under-water kites in the Holyhead deep, floating sausages, and other flights of fancy.

These have, and never had any prospect of doing other than lining the pockets of sharp business practitioners at the expense of the voters; and enabling the government to pretend it was doing “something”.

Firstly let me say that to have allowed only the amount of time it has done for “consultation”, the Welsh Assembly Government (WAG) has served the democratic entitlement of Welsh voters extremely shabbily. When a government uses its unique position to obviate the possibility of discussion with its electorate then the health of the future of the society it governs is in considerable doubt.

There will doubtless be an upsurge of objections from the populace to these proposals, many of them repetitious and of a nature which WAG has already clearly indicated it intends to ignore on an “I know better than you” basis. Nevertheless I include them.

1. It is unacceptable for government ministers to baldly announce that landscape related objection will not be accepted. That is tyranny.
2. WAG has already established its contempt for democratic process in the case of the wind-“farm” over-ruling earlier this year by Lesley Griffiths AM. To formalise that intention in this document is in my view a disgrace. It does not in any way justify it.
3. The arguments regarding road and access issues has been made repeatedly and formalised in earlier mass objections. It is valid, and as valid as ever.

My own less well-shared objections are herewith listed. They are less well-shared because few people have the time to investigate these matters closely. This certainly seems from the evidence to be the case with Ms. Lesley Griffiths AM., Energy Minister, whose pronouncements on matters of energy policy starkly illuminate either a lack of intellectual grasp of the subject; or worse a deliberate ignoring of the very serious issues at hand, in order to accommodate less visible policy hands in the background.

1. The entire proposal is founded on a dishonest thesis – namely that there is

sufficient incontrovertible evidence that some kind of need for this is established by dangerous anthropogenic “global warming” which is solely caused by man-made releases of the gas, CO<sub>2</sub>. There is no such incontrovertible evidence. Plus, there is a very large body of counter-evidence.

2. There is considerable evidence of global plant cover increase – of the order of 13%+, likely the result of the small amount of warming and increased CO<sub>2</sub> since around about 1700AD which is long before the industrial revolution which is usually cited.
3. It is simply a FACT with a huge body of evidence in support, that certain highly government sponsored scientists have deliberately manipulated climate data to suit political ends, not scientific ones. Their pronouncements are therefore too insubstantial to use as the foundation for radical policies such as those announced.

### **False Costings.**

However, the single most important issue regarding these plans is the error in the putative cost/benefits.

If the planet really were to be in danger of catastrophic overheating then perhaps *any* cost, no matter how much it hurt the poor of the world could be argued for. But it is not. So, localising the issue to Wales, imposing this development framework on the nation is unjustifiable.

What makes the matter worse is that the costings upon which it relies are phoney.

- No account is taken of the disappointingly short lives of wind-turbines.
- No account is taken of the staggering ecological damage being done mining the minerals for both wind turbines and solar PV panels
- No account has been taken of the servicing costs, indeed these have been wrongly classed as a benefit through the generation of mal-invested jobs creation.
- No account has been taken of the enormous costs inherent in widely distributed electricity generation as compared to the dense localised generation by fossil fuel and nuclear stations.
- No account has been taken of the vast profits which National Grid Plc anticipate making through their highly convenient “requirement” to distribute the power.
- And significantly, no account has been included of the added costs to the nation of the increased need to provide further subsidies, not only to the so-called “renewable” generators, but to the fossil fuel and nuclear generators without which the system cannot be served by so-called “renewables”.

The entire proposal of the NDF – with respect to “renewables” is a sham.

Yours faithfully